arXiv:1204.5082v3 [math.CA] 29 Apr 2012

An H_1-BMO duality theory in the framework of semigroups of operators

Tao Mei

Dept. of Math., Wayne State Univ., Detroit, MI.

Abstract

Let (M, μ) be a sigma-finite measure space. Let (T_t) be a semigroup of positive preserving maps on (M, μ) with standard assumptions. We prove a H_1-BMO duality theory with assumptions only (T_t) itself. The BMO is defined as spaces of functions f such that $\sup_t \|T_t|f - T_t f|^2\| < \infty$. The H_1 is defined by square functions of P. A. Meyer's gradient form. Our argument does not rely on the geometric/metric structure of M nor on the kernel of the semigroups of operators. This allows our main results extend to the noncommutative setting as well, e.g. the case where $L_\infty(M, \mu)$ is replaced by von Neumann algebras with a semifinite trace. We also prove a Carleson embedding theorem for semigroups of operators.

Key words: tent space, BMO space, Hardy space, Carleson measure, semigroup of positive operators, von Neumann algebra.

---

0 Introduction

E. Stein ([St70]) studied a "universal" H^p theory for $1 < p < \infty$ in the frame work of semigroup of operators. After Stein's work, many other mathematicians (e.g. M. Cowling, P. A. Meyer, N. Varopoulos, Doung/Yan, Auscher/McIntosh and their coauthors) have been working on Fourier multipliers and Hardy/BMO spaces associated with semigroups of operators (see [Cow83], [FS82], [Mey74], [Var80], [DY05], [ADM04], [HM09], [HLMMY] etc.). In particular, Doung/Yan proved an H_1-BMO duality for semigroups of operators with heat kernel bounds in their remarkable article [DY05]. S. Hofmann and S. Mayboroda proved an H_1-BMO duality for semigroups of operators generated by divergence form elliptic operators. A novelty of Doung/Yan's definitions of BMO

---

[1] The author was supported in part by NSF grant DMS 0901009.

and H_1 is that they are according to the growth of the kernel of the underlying semigroups of operators.

One aim of those work is to establish a Hardy spaces theory which relies on less geometric/metric properties of Euclidean spaces. This article continues the effort to this aim and provides an abstract approach to an H_1-BMO duality with assumptions only on the semigroups of operators. By "abstract", we mean that the formulation of the duality is unified and the corresponding constants are absolute, as in Stein's work for Littlewood-Paley theory in the case of $1 < p < \infty$.

Let (M, μ) be a sigma-finite measure space. Let (T_t) be a standard semigroup of operators on $L^p(M)$. Under standard assumptions (see Def 1.1), T_t can be viewed as a natural alternative to the classical mean value operator. This led to two natural definition of BMO-norms,

$$\|f\|_{BMO(\mathcal{T})} = \sup_t \|T_t|f - T_t f|^2\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
$$\|f\|_{bmo(\mathcal{T})} = \sup_t \|T_t|f|^2 - |T_t f|^2\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

These two BMO norms are equivalent to the usual interval BMO norms if (T_t) are the heat semigroups on $\mathbb{R}^n$. But $\|\cdot\|_{BMO(\mathcal{T})}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{bmo(\mathcal{T})}$ may not be equivalent to each other in general. Let BMO($\mathcal{T}$) and bmo($\mathcal{T}$) be Banach spaces characterized by the corresponding BMO norms. In [JM12], Junge and the author of this paper prove that an interpolation result holds between $BMO(\mathcal{T})$ and $L_1(M)$ and, the interpolation theory for bmo($\mathcal{T}$) and $L_1(M)$ also hold with an additional continuity assumption (see Lemma 1.6).

Let us consider the following analogues of Lusin area integral and Littlewood-Paley G function.

$$S_\Gamma(f) = (\int_0^\infty T_s\Gamma(T_s f)ds)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
$$G_\Gamma(f) = (\int_0^\infty \Gamma(T_s f)ds)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Here $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is P. A. Meyer's gradient form (Carré du Champ) for semigroups of operators (see Def 1.3). If (T_t) are the classical heat semigroups (or Ornstein-Uhlenbeck) semigroups on $\mathbb{R}^n$ (with the Gaussian measure), then

$$\Gamma(f) = |\partial_x f|^2.$$

This is also the case, if T_t is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a Riemannian manifold. We use $\int_0^\infty T_s(\cdot)ds$ in the definition of the S-function as an alternative to the integration on the cones.

Let us define $H_1^S(\mathcal{T})$ (resp. $H_1^G(\mathcal{T})$) as the space of all $f \in L_1(M)$ such that $\|f\|_{H_1^S(\mathcal{T})} = \|S_\Gamma(f)\|_1 < \infty$ (resp. $\|f\|_{H_1^G(\mathcal{T})} = \|G_\Gamma(f)\|_1 < \infty$).

Denote by $\tau(\cdot)$ the integration operator $\int \cdot d\mu$ on M. Our first result is the following duality inequality between H_1 and BMO.

Theorem 0.1 *Let (T_t) be a standard semigroup of operators satisfying the $\Gamma_2 \geq 0$ condition (1.5). Then $bmo(\mathcal{T}) \subset (H_1^S(\mathcal{T}))^*$ and*

$$\tau(fg) \leq c_1 \|f\|_{H_1^S(\mathcal{T})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{H_1^G(\mathcal{T})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g\|_{bmo(\mathcal{T})} \leq c_2 \|f\|_{H_1^S(\mathcal{T})} \|g\|_{bmo(\mathcal{T})},$$

with absolute constants c_1, c_2.

Our attention then turns to the following questions.

- When does the other direction $bmo(\mathcal{T}) \supseteq (H_1^S(\mathcal{T}))^*$ hold?
- When does $bmo(\mathcal{T}) = BMO(\mathcal{T})$?
- When does $H_1^S(\mathcal{T}) = H_1^G(\mathcal{T})$?

The answers to them are all "yes" if the semigroup (T_t) satisfies two more conditions.

Theorem 0.2 *Let T_t be a standard semigroup of operators satisfying the $\Gamma_2 \geq 0$ condition (1.5). Assume, in addition, that there exist constants $c_3, c_4, r > 0$ such that*

(i) $\|(T_{t+\varepsilon t} - T_t)f\|_1 \leq c_3 \varepsilon^r \|f\|_1$, for all $\varepsilon > 0, t > 0$ and $f \in L_1(M)$.

(ii) Denote $M_t = \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t T_s ds$.

$$\|(M_{8t}|T_t f|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_1(M)} \leq c_4 \|f\|_{L_1(M)}, \tag{0.1}$$

for all $t > 0$ and $f \in L_1^+(M)$.

Then every linear functional ℓ on $H_1^S(\mathcal{T})$ can be represented as $\tau(g\cdot)$ with $\|g\|_{bmo(\mathcal{T})} \simeq c\|\ell\|_{(H_1^S(\mathcal{T}))^*}$. Moreover,

$$bmo(\mathcal{T}) = BMO(\mathcal{T}) \quad \text{and} \quad H_1^G(\mathcal{T}) = H_1^S(\mathcal{T}).$$

The equivalent constants only depend on c_3, c_4, r.

Our argument does not rely on any geometric/metric structure of M nor on the kernel of the semigroups of operators. In fact, our argument only needs an abstract L_∞ space and a standard semigroup of operators on this space satisfying the assumptions in Theorem 0.1 and 0.2. We consider these assumptions as an reflection of the geometric properties of M, as what in the mind of many other researchers, e.g. D. Bakry, etc. This abstract argument allows to extend our main results to the noncommutative setting, which is our final goal. The drawback is that we require the semigroups of operators are positive preserving, while Doung/Yan and Hofmann/Mayboroda's theorems go beyond those type of semigroups of operators.

From the point of view of functional analysis, every L_∞ space on a sigma-finite measure spaces is a commutative von Neumann algebra. This led to defining noncommutative L^p spaces as von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{M}$ with "nice" linear functionals, called traces and denoted by τ, which play the role of integration with respect to μ.

The importance of analyzing semigroups of operators on von Neumann algebras has been impressively demonstrated by the recent work of Popa and Ozawa [OP10] and also occurs in the work of Shlyahktenko/Connes [CS05] on Betti numbers for von Neumann algebras. M. Junge and the author of this article build up a connection between semigroups of operators on von Neumann algebras and M. Rieffel's quantum metric spaces (see [Rie], [JM10]).

Noncommutative analogues of analytic Hardy spaces have been developed mainly by W. Arveson (see [A67]). Pisier/Xu and their collaborators have established the noncommutative theory of martingale Hardy spaces (see [PX97]). Junge-Le Merdy-Xu studied noncommutative real Hardy spaces for $1 < p < \infty$ in [JLX06]. In particular, an H_1-BMO duality for noncommutative martingales is proved in [PX97] and [JX03]. [M07] proves an analogue of the classical real variable H_1-BMO duality in the semi-commutative case. [M08] is a first try on a real variable H_1-BMO duality in the general noncommutative setting. [JM12] established an interpolation result between semigroup BMO spaces and noncommutative L_p spaces. Noncommutative fourier multiplier theories are further developed in [JM10] and [JMP] by using BMO spaces associated with semigroups of operators defined above. This article improves the method developed in [M08] and extends Theorem 0.1 and 0.2 to the noncommutative case in Section 3.

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Semigroups of operators

Let (M, σ, μ) be a sigma-finite measure space. Let $L^p(M)$ be the space of all complex valued p-integrable functions on M. Denote by f^* the pointwise complex conjugate of a function f on M.

Definition 1.1 *A family of operators $(T_y)_y$ is a standard semigroup of operators, if $T_{y_1}T_{y_2} = T_{y_1+y_2}, T_0 = id$ and*

(i) T_y are contractions on $L^p(M)$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

(ii) T_y are symmetric, i.e. $T_y = T_y^*$ on $L^2(M)$.

(iii) $T_y(1) = 1$

(iv) $T_y(f) \to f$ in L^2 as $y \to 0+$ for $f \in L^2$.

The conditions (i), (iii) above imply T_y is *positivity preserving* for each y, i.e. $T_y(f) \geq 0$ if $f \geq 0$. We will need the following Kadison-Schwarz inequality for unital (completely) positive contraction T on $L_p(M)$,

$$|T(f)|^2 \leq T(|f|^2), \qquad \forall f \in L_p(M). \tag{1.2}$$

A standard semigroup (T_y) always admits an infinitesimal generator $L = \lim_{y\to 0} \frac{T_y - id}{y}$. L is a unbounded operator densely defined on $L_2(M)$. We will write $T_y = e^{yL}$. Some of the conditions (i)-(iv) may be weaken but that is beyond the main interests of this article.

Definition 1.2 *P. A Meyer's gradient form Γ (also called "Carré du Champ") associated with T_t is defined as,*

$$2\Gamma(f, g) = L(f^*g) - (L(f^*)g) - f^*(L(g)), \tag{1.3}$$

for f, g with $f^*, g, f^*g \in D(L)$.

When $f = g$, we simply write $\Gamma(f) = \Gamma(f, g)$.

For convenience, we assume that there exists a *-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ which is weak* dense in $L_\infty(M)$ such that $T_s(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{A} \subset D(L)$. This assumption is to guarantee that $\Gamma(T_s f, T_s g)$ make senses for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, which is not easy to verify in general, although the other form $T_t\Gamma(T_s f, T_s g)$ is what we need essentially in this article and can be read as $LT_t(T_sf^*T_sg)-T_t((LT_sf^*)T_sg)-T_t(T_sf^*(LT_sg))$ for any $f,g \in L_p(M), 1 \leq p \leq \infty, s,t > 0$.

It is easy to verify that for $L = \triangle = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 x}$, $\Gamma(f,g) = \frac{\partial f^*}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$. It is well known that the positive-preserving property of a standard semigroup of operators implies that $\Gamma(f) \geq 0$ for all f.

Definition 1.3 *Bakry-Émery's iterated gradient form* Γ_2 *is defined as*

$$\Gamma_2(f,g) = L\Gamma(f,g) - \Gamma(f^*L(g)) - \Gamma((Lf^*)g), \tag{1.4}$$

for $f,g \in \mathcal{A}$.

When $f = g$, we simply write $\Gamma_2(f) = \Gamma_2(f,g)$. For $L = \triangle = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 x}$, $\Gamma_2(f,g) = \frac{\partial^2 f^*}{\partial x^2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2}$. However, $\Gamma_2(f,f) \geq 0$ is not always true. For L being the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a complete manifold, $\Gamma_2(f) \geq 0$ is equivalent to the positivity of the Ricci curvature of the manifold. See [BBG12] and references therein for more details on Γ_2 and examples of semigroups of operators satisfying the "$\Gamma_2 \geq 0$" condition, which is the so-called curvature-dimension criterion $CD(0,\infty)$ in [BBG12]. We still use the relative old notation "$\Gamma_2 \geq 0$" because it makes sense even in the noncommutative setting.

It is easy to check that, for a standard semigroup of operator $(T_t)_t$, $\Gamma_2(f) \geq 0$ iff

$$\Gamma(T_vf) \leq T_v\Gamma(f) \tag{1.5}$$

for all $v > 0, f \in \mathcal{A}$.

We will need the following Lemma due to P.A. Meyer. We add a short proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 1.3 *For any* $f \in L_p(M), 1 \leq p \leq \infty, s > 0$, *we have*

$$T_s|f|^2 - |T_sf|^2 = 2\int_0^s T_{s-t}\Gamma(T_tf)dt.$$

Proof. For s fixed, let

$$F_t = T_{s-t}(|T_tf|^2).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial T_{s-t}(|T_tf|^2)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial T_{s-t}}{\partial t}(|T_tf|^2) + T_{s-t}[(\frac{\partial T_t}{\partial t}f^*)f] + T_{s-t}[f^*(\frac{\partial T_t}{\partial t}f)] \\ &= -T_{s-t}\Gamma(T_tf).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$T_s|f|^2 - |T_s f|^2 = -F_s + F_0 = \int_0^s T_{s-t}\Gamma(T_t f)dt.$$

■

Definition 1.4 *Given a standard semigroup of operators* $(T_y)_y$ *with an infinitesimal generator* L*, the semigroup* $(P_y)_y$ *defined as*

$$P_y = e^{-y\sqrt{-L}}$$

is again a standard semigroup of operators. We call it the subordinated Poisson semigroup of $(T_y)_y$*.*

Note P_y is chosen such that

$$(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} + L)P_s = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

It is well known that (see [St2])

$$P_y = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\int_0^\infty ye^{-\frac{y^2}{4u}}u^{-\frac{3}{2}}T_u du. \tag{1.7}$$

Apply $\Gamma(f) \geq 0$ and (1.7), it is easy to deduce that $\Gamma_2 \geq 0$ also implies $\Gamma(P_v f, P_v f) \leq P_v\Gamma(f)$ for any $v > 0$.

(1.7) also implies that

$$\frac{P_y}{y}(f) \leq \frac{P_t}{t}(f) \text{ and } |(P_y - P_{y+t})f| \leq \frac{8t}{y}P_{\frac{y}{2}}f, \tag{1.8}$$

for any $0 \leq t \leq y, f \geq 0$, since T_u is positive and $e^{-\frac{y^2}{4u}}u^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ is a function decreasing with respect to y.

The classical heat semigroup and Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup on $\mathbb{R}^n$ are typical examples of standard semigroups of operators. They can be presented as

$$T_t = e^{t\triangle} \tag{1.9}$$

$$O_t = e^{t(\frac{1}{2}\triangle + x\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial x})} \tag{1.10}$$

with $\triangle = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$, the Laplacian operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

It is easy to check that for these two semigroups,

$$\Gamma(f,g)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}f^*\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial x}g, \tag{1.11}$$

and they both satisfy the $\Gamma_2\geq 0$ condition (1.5).

1.2 BMO spaces associated with semigroups of operators

Recall we set

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{bmo}(\mathcal{T})}=\sup_{0<t<\infty}\|T_t|f|^2-|T_tf|^2\|_{L_\infty}^{\frac12}. \tag{1.12}$$

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathcal{T})}=\sup_{0<t<\infty}\|T_t|f-T_tf|^2\|_{L_\infty}^{\frac12}. \tag{1.13}$$

It is easy to see by Kadison-Schwarz inequality (1.2) that for any positive sequence t_n which converges to 0,

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{bmo}(\mathcal{T})}\simeq\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|T_{t_n}|f|^2-|T_{t_n}f|^2\|_{L_\infty}^{\frac12}. \tag{1.14}$$

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathcal{T})}\simeq\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|T_{t_n}|f-T_{t_n}f|^2\|_{L_\infty}^{\frac12}. \tag{1.15}$$

Lemma 1.4 *([JM12]) Let (T_t) be a standard semigroup of operators. Then (i) $\|f\|_{bmo(\mathcal{T})}=0$ iff $\|f\|_{BMO(\mathcal{T})}=0$ iff $f\in ker(L)=\{f\in D(L)Lf=0\}$. (ii) If in addition (T_t) satisfies the $\Gamma_2\geq 0$ condition (1.5), then*

$$\|f\|_{BMO(\mathcal{T})}\simeq\|f\|_{bmo(\mathcal{T})}+\sup_t\|T_tf-T_{2t}f\|.$$

Lemma 1.5 *Suppose (T_t) is a standard semigroup of operators. Then*

$$\sup_t\|(T_t|f-T_tf(\cdot)|^p)(\cdot)\|_\infty^{\frac1p}\simeq^p\|f\|_{bmo(\mathcal{T})}, \tag{1.16}$$

for any $0<p<\infty$. And, if (T_t) satisfies the $\Gamma_2\geq$ condition (1.5), then

$$\sup_t\|T_t|f-T_tf|^p\|_\infty^{\frac1p}\simeq^p\|f|\|_{BMO(\mathcal{T})}, \tag{1.17}$$

for any $0<p<\infty$.

Proof. Let W_t be a Markov process, so that

$$E_s f(W_t) = T_{t-s} f(W_s), \tag{1.18}$$

for any $0 \leq s < t < \infty$. The Markov process (W_t) can be constructed by setting its covariance function $C_{s,t} = K_{t-s}$, the kernel of (T_t). Fix a $t > 0$, we consider the martingale $E_s(f(W_t)), s = 2^{-n}t, n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the John-Nirenberg inequality for the little BMO space of martingales, we have,

$$\sup_{s=2^{-n}t} \|E_s(|f(W_t) - E_s f(W_t)|^p)\|_\infty \simeq^p \sup_{s=2^{-n}t} \|E_s|f(W_t) - E_s f(W_t)|\|_\infty^p \tag{1.19}$$

On the other hand, by the Markov property, we have that, on the set $\{W_s = v\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_s(|f(W_t) - E_s f(W_t)|^p) &= E_s(|f(W_t) - T_{t-s} f(v)|^p) \\ &= (T_{t-s}|f - T_{t-s} f(v)|^p)(v). \end{aligned} \tag{1.20}$$

Therefore,

$$\|E_s(|f(W_t) - E_s f(W_t)|^p)\|_\infty = \|(T_{t-s}|f - T_{t-s} f(\cdot)|^p)(\cdot)\|_\infty. \tag{1.21}$$

Combining (1.19) and (1.21) we obtain the John-Nirenberg inequality for $bmo(\mathcal{T})$,

$$\sup_t \|(T_t|f - T_t f(\cdot)|^p)(\cdot)\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{p}} \simeq^p \|f\|_{bmo(\mathcal{T})}, \tag{1.22}$$

for any $0 < p < \infty$.

Now, for any $p > 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &(T_t|f - T_t f|^p(\cdot))^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq (T_t|f - T_t f(\cdot)|^p(\cdot))^{\frac{1}{p}} + (T_t|T_t f - T_t f(\cdot)|^p(\cdot))^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq [T_t(|f - T_t f(\cdot)|^p)(\cdot)]^{\frac{1}{p}} + [T_{2t}|f - T_{2t} f(\cdot)|^p(\cdot)]^{\frac{1}{p}} + (|T_t(f) - T_{2t} f|)(\cdot). \end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum on both sides, we get by (1.16) and Lemma 1.4 (ii) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_t \|T_t(|f - T_t f|^p)\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq 2c_p \|f\|_{bmo(\mathcal{T})} + \sup_t \|T_t(f) - T_{2t} f\|_\infty \\ &\leq c c_p \|f\|_{BMO(\mathcal{T})}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.23}$$

For $0 < q < 2$, let $p = 4 - q$, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} T_t(|f - T_t f|^2)(\cdot) &= T_t(|f - T_t f|^{\frac{q}{2}}|f - T_t f|^{\frac{4-q}{2}})(\cdot) \\ &\leq [T_t(|f - T_t f|^q)(\cdot)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot [T_t(|f - T_t f|^p)(\cdot)]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum on both sides and applying (1.23), we get

$$\|f\|_{BMO(\mathcal{T})} \leq c_q \sup_t \|T_t(|f - T_t f|^q)\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{4}} \|f\|_{BMO(\mathcal{T})}^{\frac{p}{4}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|f\|_{BMO(\mathcal{T})} \leq c_q \sup_t \|T_t(|f - T_t f|^q)\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

■

Lemma 1.6 *([JM12]) Assume that (T_t) is a standard semigroup of operators. Then*

$$[BMO(\mathcal{T}), L_1^0(M)]_{\frac{1}{p}} = L_p^0(M)$$

for $1 < p < \infty$. If, in addition, (T_t) admits a Markov dilation which has a. u. continuous path, then

$$[bmo(\mathcal{T}), L_1^0(M)]_{\frac{1}{p}} = L_p^0(M)$$

for $1 < p < \infty$.

Here $L_p^0(M) = L_p(M)/kerL$.

Remark 1.1 *Lemma 1.4 could include here a proof of Lemma 1.6 in a few lines, if the little BMO space of martingales works as an interpolation-end point for L_p martingale spaces. But that is not the case in general.*

2 Proof of Theorem 0.1, 0.2

Recall, for $f \in L_1(M)$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} S_\Gamma(f) &= (\int_0^\infty T_s \Gamma(T_s f) ds)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ G_\Gamma(f) &= (\int_0^\infty \Gamma(T_s f) ds)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_1^S(\mathcal{T})} &= \|S_\Gamma(f)\|_{L_1},\\ \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_1^G(\mathcal{T})} &= \|G_\Gamma(f)\|_{L_1}.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_1^G} \leq 2\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_1^S}, \tag{2.1}$$

by $\Gamma_2 \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{H}_1^S, \mathcal{H}_1^G, \mathrm{bmo}(\mathcal{T})$ and $\mathrm{BMO}_(\mathcal{T})$ be the corresponding Banach spaces.

Set truncated square functions S_s, G_s as follows:

$$S_s = (\int_s^\infty T_{y-\frac{s}{2}}(\Gamma(T_{y+\frac{s}{2}}f)dy)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.2}$$

$$G_s = (\int_s^\infty \Gamma(T_{2y}f)dy)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.3}$$

S_s, G_s are constructed to satisfy our key Lemma.

Lemma 2.1

$$G_s \leq S_s; \tag{2.4}$$

$$\frac{dT_{(a+b)s}(S_s)}{ds} \geq \frac{a+b}{b} T_{as}(\frac{dT_{bs}(S_s)}{ds}), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\frac{dT_{\frac{s}{2}}(S_s)}{ds} \leq 0, \tag{2.6}$$

for any $a, b > 0$.

Proof. (2.4) is true because of the fact

$$\Gamma(T_{2y}f) \leq T_{y-\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma(T_{y+\frac{s}{2}}f),$$

which follows from the $\Gamma_2 \geq 0$ condition (1.5).

Apply (1.5) again, we get $S_s \geq S_t$ for any $s \leq t$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&T_{(a+b)s+(a+b)\Delta s}(S_{s+\Delta s}) - T_{(a+b)s}(S_s)\\ &= T_{as}[T_{bs+(a+b)\Delta s}(S_{s+\Delta s}) - T_{bs}(S_s)]\\ &\geq T_{as}[T_{bs+(a+b)\Delta s}(S_{s+\frac{a+b}{b}\Delta s}) - T_{bs}(S_s)].\end{aligned}$$

Divide by Δs both sides, we get (2.5).

We go to prove (2.6). By (1.2) and $\Gamma_2 \geq 0$ condition (1.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\Delta s}S_{s+2\Delta s} &= T_{\Delta s}(\int_{s+2\Delta s}^{\infty} T_{y-\frac{s}{2}-\Delta s}\Gamma(T_{y+\frac{s}{2}+\Delta s}f)dy)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq (\int_{s+2\Delta s}^{\infty} T_{y-\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma(T_{y+\frac{s}{2}+\Delta s}f)dy)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq (\int_{s+2\Delta s}^{\infty} T_{y-\frac{s}{2}+\frac{\Delta s}{2}}\Gamma(T_{y+\frac{s}{2}+\frac{\Delta s}{2}}f)dy)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= (\int_{s+2\Delta s+\frac{\Delta s}{2}}^{\infty} T_{u-\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma(T_{u+\frac{s}{2}}f)du)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq (\int_{s}^{\infty} T_{y-\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma(T_{y+\frac{s}{2}}f)dy)^{\frac{1}{2}} = S_s.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$T_{\frac{s+2\Delta s}{2}}S_{s+2\Delta s} - T_{\frac{s}{2}}S_s \leq 0.$$

Taking $\Delta s \to 0$ we obtain (2.6).

Lemma 2.2 *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\tau\int_0^{\infty}\Gamma(T_{2s}f, T_{(2+v)s}\varphi_s)ds| \\
&\leq (8+4v)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|G_{\Gamma}(f)\|_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(-\tau\int_0^{\infty}(T_{(\frac{3}{2}+v)y}\int_0^{y}\Gamma(\varphi_s)ds)\frac{\partial T_{\frac{y}{2}}(S_y)}{\partial y}dy)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $v > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and any $\varphi_s \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. We can assume $G_s, S_{v,s}$ are invertible by approximation. By (1.2), (2.1) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\tau\int_0^{\infty}\Gamma(T_{2s}f, T_{(2+v)s}\varphi_s)ds| \\
&\leq (\tau\int_0^{\infty}\Gamma(T_{2s}f)G_s^{-1}ds)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\tau\int_0^{\infty}\Gamma(T_{(2+v)s}\varphi_s)G_sds)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\overset{def}{=} I^{\frac{1}{2}}II^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $-\frac{\partial G_s^2}{\partial s} = \Gamma(T_{2s}f)$. For I, we have

$$I = \tau\int_0^{\infty} -\frac{\partial G_s^2}{\partial s}G_s^{-1}ds = 2\tau\int_0^{\infty} -\frac{\partial G_s}{\partial s}ds = 2\|G_0\|_1.$$

We estimate II. By (2.4)and $\Gamma_2 \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
II \leq & \tau \int_0^\infty \Gamma(T_{(2+v)s}\varphi_s)S_s ds \qquad (2.7)\\
\leq & \tau \int_0^\infty \Gamma(\varphi_s)T_{(2+v)s}S_s ds\\
= & \tau \int_0^\infty \Gamma(\varphi_s)\int_s^\infty -\frac{\partial T_{(2+v)y}(S_y)}{\partial y} dy ds\\
= & -\tau \int_0^\infty \int_0^y \Gamma(\varphi_s)ds \frac{\partial T_{(2+v)y}(S_y)}{\partial y} dy. \qquad (2.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (2.6), with $a, b = \frac{3}{2} + v, \frac{1}{2}$ to (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
II \leq & -(4+2v)\tau \int_0^\infty \int_0^y \Gamma(\varphi_s)ds T_{(\frac{3}{2}+v)y}(\frac{\partial T_{\frac{y}{2}}(S_y)}{\partial y})dy\\
= & -(4+2v)\tau \int_0^\infty (T_{(\frac{3}{2}+v)y}\int_0^y \Gamma(\varphi_s)ds)\frac{\partial T_{\frac{y}{2}}(S_y)}{\partial y}dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates of I and II, we get the desired inequality.

Proof of Theorem 0.1. Note $\tau Lf = 0, \tau(Lf)g = \tau f(Lg)$ for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$. By the definition of Γ (1.3),

$$\tau f\varphi^* = -\tau \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(T_{2s}fT_{(3+v)s}\varphi^*)ds = (5+v)\tau \int_0^\infty \Gamma(T_{2s}f, T_{(3+v)s}\varphi)ds$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 to $\varphi_s = T_s\varphi$, we get

$$|\tau f\varphi^*| \leq 12\sqrt{3}\|G_\Gamma(f)\|_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(-\tau \int_0^\infty \int_0^y T_{(\frac{3}{2}+v)y}\Gamma(T_s\varphi)ds \frac{\partial T_{\frac{y}{2}}(S_y)}{\partial y}dy)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for any $0 < v < 1$.

Denote $M_y = \frac{1}{y}\int_0^y T_s ds$. Integrate on v for $0 < v < 1$, we have

$$|\tau f\varphi^*|^2 \leq c\|G_\Gamma(f)\|_1(-\tau \int_0^\infty \int_0^y M_y T_{(\frac{3}{2})y}\Gamma(T_s\varphi)ds \frac{\partial T_{\frac{y}{2}}(S_y)}{\partial y}dy),$$

Then, by (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\tau f\varphi^*|^2 &\le c\|G_\Gamma(f)\|_1(\sup_y \| \int_0^y M_y T_{(\frac{3}{2})y}\Gamma(T_s\varphi)ds\|_\infty)\int_0^\infty -\frac{\partial T_{\frac{y}{2}}(S_y)}{\partial y}dy \\
&\le c\|G_\Gamma(f)\|_1(\sup_y \| \int_0^y M_y T_{(\frac{3}{2})y}\Gamma(T_s\varphi)ds\|_\infty)\|S_\Gamma(f))\|_1.
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, note $M_yT_{\frac{y}{2}+s} \le 3M_{3y}$ for $0 < s < y$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^y M_y T_{(\frac{3}{2})y}\Gamma(T_s\varphi, T_s\varphi)ds &= \int_0^y M_y T_{\frac{y}{2}+s}T_{y-s}\Gamma(T_s\varphi)ds \\
&\le 3M_{3y}\int_0^y T_{y-s}\Gamma(T_s\varphi)ds \\
(\text{Lemma 1.3}) &= 3M_{3y}(T_y|\varphi|^2 - |T_y\varphi|^2).
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\sup_y \| \int_0^y M_y T_{(\frac{3}{2})y}\Gamma(T_s\varphi)ds\|_\infty \le 3\|\varphi\|^2_{\mathrm{bmo}(\mathcal{T})},
$$

because M_{3y} is a bounded operator on L_∞.

We concluded that

$$
|\tau(f\varphi^*)|^2 \le c\|G_\Gamma(f)\|_1\|S_\Gamma(f)\|_1\|\varphi\|^2_{\mathrm{bmo}(\mathcal{T})}.
$$

□

Remark 2.2 *Known examples of semigroups operators satisfying the* $\Gamma_2 \ge 0$ *conditions include all standard semigroups of operators on group von Neumann algebras (see Example 3), the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups on* $\mathbb{R}^n$*, and the heat semigroups generated by the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a compact manifold with positive curvature.*

Remark 2.3 *The proofs of Lemma 2.1 and 2.2 work for any bilinear form* $B(\cdot,\cdot)$ *satisfying (i)* $B(f,f) \ge 0$*; (ii)* $B(T_sf, T_sf) \le T_sB(f,f)$*. In particular, the proofs work for* $B(f_s, g_s) = s\frac{\partial f_s^*}{\partial s}\frac{\partial g_s}{\partial s}$ *and will give the estimation*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\tau f g^*| \\
&\le c\|(\int_0^\infty |\frac{\partial T_s f}{\partial s}|^2 sds)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L_1(M)}\|(\int_0^\infty T_s|\frac{\partial T_s f}{\partial s}|^2 sds)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L_1(M)}\sup_t \|T_t\int_0^t |\frac{\partial T_s g}{\partial s}|^2 sds\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L_\infty(M)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The author shows in [M08] that,

$$
\sup_t \|T_t\int_0^t |\frac{\partial T_s g}{\partial s}|^2 sds\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L_\infty(M)} \le c\|g\|_{bmo(\mathcal{T})}, \tag{2.9}
$$

if T_s is a subordinated Poisson semigroup. It would be nice if there is a less strict assumption on T_t which implies (2.9).

Proof of Theorem 0.2. Note by (1.17),

$$\|\varphi\|_{BMO(\mathcal{T})} = \sup_t \|T_t|\varphi - T_t\varphi|\|_\infty = \sup_f \tau(\varphi f), \tag{2.10}$$

Here the supremum takes for all $f = hT_t(g) - T_t(hT_tg)$ with $g \geq 0, \|g\|_1, \|h\|_\infty \leq 1$. We need to show that such f's are in $H_1^S(\mathcal{T})$ with norm $\leq c$. The inclusion $(H_1^S)^* \subset BMO(\mathcal{T})$ then follows from a density argument. The equivalence $(H_1^S)^* = bmo(\mathcal{T}) = BMO(\mathcal{T})$ and $H_1^G(\mathcal{T}) = H_1^S(\mathcal{T})$ follow from inequality (2.1) and Lemma 1.4.

Fix such a f. Recall $M_t = \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t T_s ds$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(\int_0^t T_s\Gamma(T_sf)ds)^{\frac12} &\leq \tau(\int_0^t M_tT_tT_s\Gamma(T_sf)ds)^{\frac12}\\
&\leq \tau(\int_0^t 3M_{3t}T_{t-s}\Gamma(T_sf)ds)^{\frac12}\\
\text{(Lemma 1.3)} &= \tau(3M_{3t}T_t|f|^2 - 3M_{3t}|T_tf|^2)^{\frac12}\\
&\leq \tau(3M_{3t}T_t|f|^2)^{\frac12}\\
&\leq 2\sqrt{3}\tau(M_{3t}T_{2t}|T_tg|^2)^{\frac12}\\
\text{(apply assumption } (ii)) &\leq 4\sqrt{2}\tau(M_{8t}|T_tg|^2)^{\frac12} \leq c.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

For the other part,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(\int_t^\infty T_s\Gamma(T_sf)ds)^{\frac12} &= \tau(\sum_{n=0}^\infty \int_{2^nt}^{2^{n+1}t} T_s\Gamma(T_sf)ds)^{\frac12}\\
&\leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty \tau(\int_{2^nt}^{2^{n+1}t} T_s\Gamma(T_sf)ds)^{\frac12}\\
\text{(let } v = s - 2^nt) &\leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty \tau(\int_0^{2^nt} T_{2^nt+v}\Gamma(T_vT_{2^nt}f)dv)^{\frac12}\\
\text{(apply (2.11))} &\leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty \tau(3M_{3\cdot 2^nt}T_{2^nt}|T_{2^{n-1}t}T_{2^{n-1}t}f|^2)^{\frac12}\\
&\leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty \tau(4M_{8\cdot 2^{n-1}t}|T_{2^{n-1}t}T_{2^{n-1}t}f|^2)^{\frac12}\\
\text{(apply assumption } (ii)) &\leq c\sum_{n=0}^\infty \|T_{2^{n-1}t}f\|_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Note by assumption (i) we have

$$\|T_{2^{n-1}t}f\|_1 = \|T_{2^{n-1}t}(T_t(hT_tg) - hT_tg)\|_1 \le \frac{c}{2^{rn}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\tau(\int_0^\infty T_s\Gamma(T_sf)ds)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le c + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c}{2^{rn}} \le c.$$

□

Example 1 *Let us illustrate the assumptions of Theorem 0.2 for the heat semigroups* $(T_t)_t$ *on a weighted Riemannian manifold with a doubling measure. Saloff-Coste's survey (see [SC10]) gives a lot of examples of* T_t *with kernels satisfying the following upper Gaussian bounds*

$$p(t,x,y) \le \frac{1}{V(x,\sqrt{t})} \exp - \frac{d^2(x,y)}{ct}, \tag{2.12}$$

$$|\frac{\partial p(t,x,y)}{\partial t}| \le \frac{1}{tV(x,\sqrt{t})} \exp - \frac{d^2(x,y)}{ct}. \tag{2.13}$$

The assumptions (i), (ii) are easily verified for such T_t*'s. (i) is obvious by (2.13). For (ii), it is enough to check the extreme points* $f = \delta_{x_0}$*. Then* $T_tf = p(t, x_0, y)$ *and*

$$(\frac{1}{t}\int_{c_1t}^{c_2t} T_s ds|T_tf|^2) \le \frac{1}{V^2(x,\sqrt{t})} \exp - \frac{d^2(x,y)}{ct}$$

which belongs to $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ *uniformly in* t*.*

Example 2 *Let* (O_t) *be the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups on* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *with the gaussian measure* $d\mu = e^{-x^2}dx$*. The infinitesimal generator of* (O_t) *is* $L = \frac{1}{2}\triangle - x\cdot\partial x = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 x_i} - x_i \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$*.* O_t *satisfies the* $\Gamma_2 \ge 0$ *condition and the assumption (i) of Theorem 0.2. This can be verified by the kernel of* O_t*. So Theorem 0.1 applies to* O_t*. It is a pity that* O_t *does not satisfy the assumption (ii) of Theorem 0.2 although the following inequality holds*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\frac{1}{t}\int_t^{2t} \tilde{O}_s ds|O_tf|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu \le c\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\mu, \tag{2.14}$$

for any $f \ge 0$*. Here* $\tilde{O}_t$ *is the semigroup generated by* $\tilde{L} = \frac{1}{2}\triangle - 2x\cdot\partial x$*.*

3 Extension to the noncommutative setting

We refer the readers to [PX03] for an introduction of noncommuative L^p spaces and to [JLX06] Chapter 10 for noncommutative semigroups of operators. Given a semigroups of operators on a semifinite von Neumann algebras M, all the definitions and Lemmas in Section 1 still work in the noncommutative setting except Lemma 1.5. The noncommutative generalization of Theorem 0.1 and its proof are straightforward (we kept the noncommutative version in mind when writing the proof of Theorem 0.1). Let us state it as follows without a proof.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $(T_s)_s$ be a semigroups of operators on a semifinite von Neumann algebar (M,τ) satisfying $\Gamma_2 \geq 0$. Then $bmo(\mathcal{T}) \subset (H_1^S(\mathcal{T}))^*$ and*

$$|\tau(fg^*)| \leq c_1 \|f\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{H_1^S(\mathcal{T})} \|f\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{H_1^G(\mathcal{T})} \|g\|_{bmo(\mathcal{T})} \leq c_2 \|f\|_{H_1^S(\mathcal{T})} \|g\|_{bmo(\mathcal{T})},$$

for all $f,g \in \mathcal{A}$ with absolute constants c_1, c_2.

The generalization of Theorem 0.2 takes a little more effort. Because the John-Nirenberg inequality for noncommutative martingales are not very "nice", and the noncommutative version of Lemma 1.5 is not available to us (at least by now).

Theorem 3.2 *Let $(T_s)_s$ be as in theorem 3.1. Assume that, in addition, there exist constants $c_3, c_4, r > 0$ such that,*

(i) $\|(T_{t+\varepsilon t} - T_t)f\|_1 \leq c_3 \varepsilon^r \|f\|_1$, for all $\varepsilon > 0, t > 0$ and $f \in L_1(M)$.

(ii) For all $t > 0$ and $g \in L_1^+(M), h \in L_2(M)$,

$$\tau[(M_{8t}|h(T_t g)^{\frac{1}{2}}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}] \leq c_3 (\tau g)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\tau |h|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Then $(H_1^S)^* = bmo(\mathcal{T}) = BMO(\mathcal{T})$ and $H_1^G = H_1^S$.

Proof. Note, for the BMO($\mathcal{T}$) norm,

$$\|T_t|\varphi - T_t\varphi|^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\infty} = \sup_f |\tau(\varphi^* f)|, \tag{3.2}$$

Here the supremum takes over for all $f = h(T_t g)^{\frac{1}{2}} - T_t(h(T_t g)^{\frac{1}{2}})$ with $g \geq 0, \|g\|_1, \|h\|_2 \leq 1$. This is easily verified as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_t|\varphi - T_t\varphi|^2\|_\infty &= \sup_{g\geq 0, \tau g \leq 1} \tau[(T_t|\varphi - T_t\varphi|^2)g]\\ &= \sup_g \tau[|\varphi - T_t\varphi|^2(T_tg)]\\ &= \sup_g \tau|(\varphi - T_t\varphi)(T_tg)^{\frac{1}{2}}|^2\\ &= \sup_{g, \tau|h|^2\leq 1} |\tau[h(T_tg)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi - T_t\varphi)^*]|\\ &= \sup_{g,h} |\tau\varphi^*[h(T_tg)^{\frac{1}{2}} - T_t(h(T_tg)^{\frac{1}{2}})]|\end{aligned}$$

We need to show such f's are in $H_1^S(\mathcal{T})$ with norm $\leq c$. Then the inclusion $(H_1^S)^* \subset BMO(\mathcal{T})$ follows from a density argument. And the equivalence $(H_1^S)^* = bmo(\mathcal{T}) = BMO(\mathcal{T})$ and $H_1^G(\mathcal{T}) = H_1^S(\mathcal{T})$ follow from inequality (2.1) and Lemma 1.4.

Fix such a f. Recall $M_t = \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t T_s ds$.

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\int_0^t T_s\Gamma(T_sf)ds)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \tau(\int_0^t M_tT_tT_s\Gamma(T_sf)ds)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\leq \tau(\int_0^t 3M_{3t}T_{t-s}\Gamma(T_sf)ds)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ (\text{ Lemma1.3}) &= \tau(3M_{3t}T_t|f|^2 - 3M_{3t}|T_tf|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &= \tau(3M_{3t}T_t|f|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad (3.3)\\ ((1.2) \text{ and triangle inequality}) &\leq \tau[(3M_{3t}T_t|h(T_tg)^{\frac{1}{2}}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}] + \tau[(3M_{3t}T_{2t}|h(T_tg)^{\frac{1}{2}}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\\ (\text{ apply}(3.1)) &\leq c\tau[(M_{8t}|h(T_tg)^{\frac{1}{2}}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}] \leq c.\end{aligned}$$

The rest part of the proof remains the same as that for Theorem 0.2. Note that the noncommutative $L_{\frac{1}{2}}$-quasi norm is 2-convex, we still have $\tau[(A+B)^{\frac{1}{2}}] \leq \tau[A^{\frac{1}{2}}] + \tau[B^{\frac{1}{2}}]$ for $A, B \geq 0$. ■

Example 3 *Let G be a discrete group. Let $\lambda_g, g \in G$ be the translation-operator on $\ell_2(G)$ defined as*

$$\lambda_g(m)(h) = m(g^{-1}h).$$

$g \mapsto \lambda_g, g \in G$ is called the left regular representation of G. The so called group von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{M}_G$ of G is the weak closure of the linear span of the λ_g's in $B(\ell_2(G))$. The canonical trace τ on $\mathcal{M}_G$ is defined as $\tau\lambda_e = 1$ and $\tau(\lambda_g) = 0$ if $g \neq e$. If G is abelian, then $L^p(\mathcal{M}_G)$ is the canonical L^p space of functions on the dual group $\hat{G}$ of G. In particular, if $G = \mathbb{Z}$, the integer group, then $\lambda_k = e^{ikt}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $L^p(\mathcal{M}_G) = L^p(\mathbb{T})$, the function space on the unit circle.*

Let ϕ be a scalar valued function on G. We say ϕ is conditionally negative if

$$\sum_{g,h} \overline{a_g} a_h \phi(g^{-1}h) \leq 0$$

for any finitely many coefficients $a_g \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\sum_g a_g = 0$. Schoenberg's theorem claims that all standard semigroups of operators on the group von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{M}_G$ are in the form of $T_t(\lambda_g) = e^{-\phi(t)}\lambda_g$ with ϕ a real valued conditionally negative function and $\phi(e) = 0$, $\phi(g) = \phi(g^{-1})$.

Let $K_\phi(g,h) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi(g) + \phi(h) - \phi(g^{-1}h))$, the Gromov form associated with ϕ. Then K_ϕ is a positive definite function on $G \times G$. So is K_ϕ^2. It is easy to compute by the definition that

$$\Gamma(\sum_g a_g \lambda_g) = \sum_{g,h} \bar{a}_g a_h K_\phi(g,h) \lambda_{g^{-1}h}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\Gamma_2(\sum_g a_g \lambda_g) = \sum_{g,h} \bar{a}_g a_h K_\phi^2(g,h) \lambda_{g^{-1}h}, \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore the $\Gamma_2 \geq 0$ condition is automatically hold for such T_t. So Theorem 3.1 applies to all such $(T_t)_t$'s.

Let $\mathbb{R}[G]$ be the algebra of all real valued bounded functions on G. Then

$$\langle \sum_g a_g \delta_g, \sum_h b_h \delta_h \rangle_\phi = \sum_{g,h} a_g a_h K_\phi(g,h)$$

defines a semi-inner product on $\mathbb{R}[G]$. After quotient the null space

$$N_\phi = \{x \in \mathbb{R}[G], \langle x, x\rangle_\phi = 0\},$$

$\mathbb{R}[G]/N_\phi$ becomes a Hilbert space. In a forthcoming article, we are going to show that T_t satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 if $\mathbb{R}[G]/N_\phi$ is finite dimensional.

Appendix—-A Carleson embedding theorem

Let(M,μ) be a sigma-finite measure space. Assume (T_t) is a standard semigroup of operators on $L_p(M)$ with infinitesimal generator L. Let $\mathcal{P} = (P_t)$ be the subordinated Poisson semigroup $P_t = e^{-t\sqrt{-L}}$. Given a $f \in L_p(M)$, then $F(t) = \mathcal{P}f = P_t f$ is L-harmonic on $M \times (0,\infty)$ in the sense that $(\partial_t^2 + L)F = 0$. Let $\nu = \nu_t d\mu$ be a measure on $M \times (0,\infty)$ with ν_t an integrable function on M. Viewing P_t's as analogues of the mean value operators, we say that ν is a *Carleson measure* with respect to L if

$$\|\nu\|_{L,\alpha} = \sup_t \|P_t \int_0^{\alpha t} \nu_s ds\|_\infty < \infty.$$

Theorem. Suppose (T_t) is a standard semigroup of operators on a sigma-finite measure space (M, μ). Assume T_t satisfies the $\Gamma_2 \geq 0$ condition (1.5). Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then

$$\|\mathcal{P}f\|_{L_p(M\times(0,\infty),\nu))} \leq c_p \|f\|_{L^p(M)}$$

for all $f \in L^p(M)$ if

$$\|\nu\|_{\mathcal{P},4} \leq c.$$

Proof. It is clear that $\|\mathcal{P}f\|_{L^\infty(\nu)} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty}$. Let

$$H_1(M) = \{f \in L_1(M); \sup_{\|g\|_{BMO(\mathcal{P})}\leq 1} |\tau f g| < \infty\}.$$

By Lemma 1.3, we have

$$(L_\infty(M), H_1(M))_{\frac{1}{p}} = L_p(M).$$

It is then enough to show that $\|\mathcal{P}f\|_{L^1(\nu)} \leq c\|f\|_{H_1(M)}$. Note

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{M\times(0,\infty)} |\mathcal{P}f| d\nu &\leq \sup_{\|g\|_\infty \leq 1} \int_M g(\int_0^\infty (P_t f)\nu_t dt) d\mu \\
&= \sup_{\|g\|_\infty \leq 1} \int_M f(\int_0^\infty P_t(g\nu_t)dt)d\mu \\
&\leq \|f\|_{H_1(M)} \sup_{\|g\|_\infty\leq 1} \| \int_0^\infty P_t(g\nu_t)dt\|_{BMO(\mathcal{P})}.
\end{aligned}$$

We go to show that $\|(\int_0^\infty P_t(g\nu_t)dt)\|_{BMO(\mathcal{P})} \leq c\|\nu\|_{\mathcal{P},4}$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_s|\int_0^\infty P_t(g\nu_t)dt - P_s(\int_0^\infty P_t(g\nu_t)dt)| \\
&\leq P_s|\int_0^s (P_t - P_{t+s})(g\nu_t)dt| + |P_s \int_s^\infty (P_t - P_{t+s})(g\nu_t)dt)| \\
\text{(apply (1.8))} &\leq 5P_s(\int_0^s |g\nu_t|dt) + 8s\int_s^\infty \frac{1}{t} P_{\frac{t}{2}}(|g\nu_t|)dt \\
(\|g\|_\infty \leq 1) &\leq 5\|\nu\|_{\mathcal{P},1} + 8\sum_k \int_{2^k s}^{2^{k+1}s} \frac{1}{2^k} P_{\frac{t}{2}}(|\nu_t|)dt \\
&\leq 5\|\nu\|_{\mathcal{P},1} + \frac{8}{2^k}\sum_k \int_{2^k s}^{2^{k+1}s} P_{\frac{t}{2}}(|\nu_t|)dt \\
\text{(apply (1.8))} &\leq 5\|\nu\|_{\mathcal{P},1} + \frac{16}{2^k}\sum_k \int_{2^k s}^{2^{k+1}s} P_{2^{k-1}s}(|\nu_t|)dt
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over s we get, by Lemma 1.5, that

$$\|(\int_0^\infty P_t(g\nu_t)dt)\|_{BMO(\mathcal{P})} \leq 37\|\nu\|_{\mathcal{P},4} \leq c.$$

By interpolation, we get

$$\|\mathcal{P}f\|_{L_p(M\times(0,\infty),\nu)} \leq c_p\|f\|_{L_p(M,\mu)}.$$

■

Reference

[A67] W.B. Arveson, Analyticity in operator algebras, Amer. J. Math. 89(1967), 578–642.

[AMR08] P. Auscher, A. McIntosh and E. Russ, Hardy spaces of differential forms on Riemaniann manifolds, J. Geom. Anal., 18 (2008), 192-248.

[AM] S. Avsec, T. Mei, H_1-BMO duality on group von Neumann algebras, preprint.

[BBG12] D. Bakry, F. Bolley, I. Gentil, Dimension dependent hypercontractivity for Gaussian kernels, Probability Theory and Related Fields, DOI: 10.1007/s00440-011-0387, arXiv:1003.5072.

[BE85] D. Bakry and M. Émery, Diffusions hypercontractives. In Séminaire de probabilités, XIX, 1983/84, Lecture Notes in Math. 1123, pages 177206. Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[CMS] Coifman, R. R.; Meyer, Y.; Stein, E. M. Some new function spaces and their applications to harmonic analysis. J. Funct. Anal. 62 (1985), no. 2, 304–335.

[Da] E.B. Davies, Heat kernels and spectral theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.

[DY] X. Duong, L. Yan, Duality of Hardy and BMO spaces associated with operators with heat kernel bounds. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (2005), no. 4, 943–973.

[FS82] G. Folland and E. Stein, Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1982.

[HM] Hofmann, Steve, Mayboroda, Svitlana, Hardy and BMO spaces associated to divergence form elliptic operators. Math. Ann. 344 (2009), no. 1, 37116.

[HLMMY] S. Hofmann, G. Lu, D. Mitrea, M. Mitrea, and L. Yan, Hardy spaces associated to nonnegative self-adjoint operators satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates, Memoirs of the A.M.S., 214 (2011), no. 1007, vi+78 pp.

[J02] M. Junge, Doob's Inequality for Non-commutative Martingales, J. Reine Angew. Math. 549 (2002), 149-190.

[J] M. Junge, Square function and Riesz-transform estimates for subordinated semigroups, preprint.

[JLX06] M. Junge, C. Le Merdy, Q. Xu, H^∞ Aunctional calculus and square Aunctions on noncommutative L^p -spaces. Astérisque No. 305 (2006), vi+138 pp.

[JM10] M. Junge, T. Mei, Noncommutative Riesz Transforms-A Probabilistic Approach, *American Journal of Math.*, 2010, Vol. 132, No. 3, 611-681.

[JM12] M. Junge, T. Mei, "BMO spaces Associated with Semigroups of Operators", *Math. Ann.*, 2012, Volume 352, Number 3, 691-743.

[JMP] M. Junge, T. Mei, J. Parcet, Smooth Fourier multipliers on group von Neumann algebras, preprint.

[JX07] M. Junge, Q. Xu, Noncommutative maximal ergodic theorems. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (2007), no. 2, 385–439.

[L08] Li, Xiang-Dong, Martingale transforms and Lp-norm estimates of Riesz transforms on complete Riemannian manifolds. Probab. Theory Related Fields 141 (2008), no. 1-2, 247281.

[L09] Li, Xiang-Dong On the strong Lp-Hodge decomposition over complete Riemannian manifolds. J. Funct. Anal. 257 (2009), no. 11, 36173646.

[M07] T. Mei, Operator Valued Hardy Spaces, Memoirs of AMS, 2007, V. 188, No. 881.

M08] T. Mei, "Tent Spaces Associated with Semigroups of Operators", *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 255 (2008) 3356-3406.

[MTX] T. Martínez, T. Josí L., Q. Xu, Vector-valued Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory for semigroups. Adv. Math. 203 (2006), no. 2, 430–475.

[Ne74] E. Nelson, Notes on non-commutative integration, J. Funct. Anal., 15 (1974), 103-116.

[P98] G. Pisier, Non-commutative vector valued L_p-spaces and completely p-summing maps. Astérisque No. 247 (1998), vi+131 pp.

[PX97] G. Pisier, Q. Xu, Non-commutative Martingale Inequalities, Comm. Math. Phys. 189 (1997), 667-698.

[PX03] G. Pisier, Q. Xu, Non-commutative L_p-spaces. Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol. 2, 1459–1517, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003.

[SC10] Saloff-Coste, Laurent, The heat kernel and its estimates. Probabilistic approach to geometry, 405436, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 57, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2010.

[St93] E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1993.

[St70] E. M. Stein, Topic in Harmonic Analysis (related to Littlewood-Paley theory), Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.

[V80] N. Varopoulos, Aspects of probabilistic Littlewood-Paley theory. J. Funct. Anal. 38 (1980), no. 1, 25–60.